UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,254	03/23/2006	Shimon Weiss	58086-229105	9421
26694 VENABLE LLI	7590 05/14/200 P	9	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3438		LIGHTFOOT, ELENA TSOY		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/573,254	WEISS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elena Tsoy Lightfoot	1792
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Λ This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Λ	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/573,254 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-21, drawn to a method of making a nanocrystal.

Group II, claims 22-35, drawn to a composition of matter.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: Groups I and II lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require nanocrystal precursor of claim 1, this technical feature shared by the groups is <u>not</u> a special technical feature as **it does not make a contribution over the prior art** in view of Bawendi et al (US 6,207,229). The shared technical feature lacks novelty because nanocrystal precursor of claim 1 is anticipated by Bawendi et al.

Bawendi et al describes nanocrystal precursor comprising a core having a surface that includes a sufficient amount of a solubility agent to render sad nanocrystal precursor souble in an organic solvent (See column 5, lines 40-45). It is held that the groups of claims lack unity of the invention if the technical feature shared by groups does not make a contribution over the prior art. See form paragraph 18.07.02. For these reasons the unity does not exist between the groups of claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,254 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

Election of Species in National Stage Applications Submitted Under 35 U.S.C. 371

Group I

(A) Claims 2, 6, 13 and 18 are generic to species of semiconductor material. These

species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single

general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of semiconductor material are as follows: (i) CdTe; (ii) CdHgTe; (iii) HgTe.

(B) Claims 3, 4, 6, 14, 15, 18 are generic to species of a capping agent. These species

are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general

inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of a capping agent are as follows:

(i) CdS; (ii) ZnS; (iii) mixture of CdS and ZnS.

Group II

(A) This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of core are as follows:

CdTe (Claim 33)

CdHgTe (Claim 34)

HgTe (Claim 35)

(B) This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

Art Unit: 1792

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of a capping agent are as follows:

(i) CdS; (ii) ZnS; (iii) mixture of CdS and ZnS.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention (Group I or Group II) to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a **single** species from **each** of (A) and (B) in elected Group I or II. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

A telephone call was made to Henry J. Daley on May 12, 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy Lightfoot whose telephone number is 571-272-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,254 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elena Tsoy Lightfoot, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

May 14, 2009

/Elena Tsoy Lightfoot/